

I, Teresa R. McCormick being of legal age and competent to testify, swear under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the State of Washington, the following is true and correct.

On November 16, 2012, I attended a courtroom hearing (for partial summary judgment) in the matter of Lane Powell v. Mark DeCoursey and Carol DeCoursey, Case No. 11-2-34596-3-SEA. Judge Richard D. Eadie presided at the hearing. This is what I observed:

Judge Eadie appeared to be somewhat nervous and concerned about his public image, as he squirmed about his desk checking frequently with glances toward the Lane Powell attorney as if asking for approval on each statement he made and then glancing toward the large audience in the courtroom who attended in support of the DeCourseys. He was obviously nervous over his suggested conflict of interest with the case (He was married to a Windermere Broker) and repeatedly proclaimed that he had no conflict of interest. Any reasonable person would agree that burying the DeCourseys in legal debt would certainly discourage any other plaintiff from suing Windermere. He gave little recognition to the fact that DeCourseys had pointed out lies and distortions told continually by the Lane Powell attorney acting as if these lies and distortions were normal in the course of court business and he was little interested in the actual truth. Judge Eadie's behavior gave me an uneasy feeling that this was but a kangaroo court whose final decisions were made long ago with the assistance of the defendant's attorneys.

Sincerely,



Teresa R. McCormick